

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/540,825

Applicant(s)

BLACK ET AL.

Examiner

Christopher E. Lee

Art Unit

2112

All Participants:

(1) Christopher E. Lee (USPTO).

(2) Richard M. Sharkansky (Reg. No. 25,800).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 March 2004

Time: 1:35pm (EST)

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' representative agreed to amend the claim 15 and 16 filed on 29th of December 2003 to correct typographical error, detailed in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Christopher E. Lee
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)